

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignas 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,582	01/22/2002	Danny L. Beasley	218063US25CO	4130
22850 7	7590 08/06/2003			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	ND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI			DINH, DUNG C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	17 -
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ON

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/683,582	BEASLEY ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung Dinh	2153			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contraction of the contract	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>7/28/03</u> . Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:					
	0	7			

Dung Dinh Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2153

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation- recieves a second set of signals over the first comm. link- raises new 103 issue.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 27, see note above. Regarding claims 1 and 44, Choi provided the motivation to combine (see col.6 lines 30-36).